



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,902	10/10/2001	Nicholas V. Nechitailo	A7964	4465
	7590 09/26/2003 GHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC D Pennsylvania Avenue, NW			
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W.		
• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/972,902	NECHITAILO, NICHOLAS V.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAIL INC DATE of this	Chandrika Prasad	2839		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
I HE I' - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become APANDON.	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2003 .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1 and 3-32 is/are pending in the appli	cation.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-32 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application	on Papers	,			
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep				
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
•	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.			
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicat	ion No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the contract	eau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	•		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				
a) 15)∭ Ad	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional application has been rec	ceived.		
Attachment(
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trac TOL-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9		

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. The reply filed 8/29/03 consists of amendments to claims 1, 15, 16, cancellation of claim 2, changes in the specification and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Prevention of the corner fibers from contacting the buffer tube by placing the cushion member parallel to a central longitudinal axis has not been described in the specification.

4. Claims 1 and 3-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 2839

Prevention of the corner fibers from contacting the buffer tube by placing the cushion member parallel to a central longitudinal axis is not enabling. An infinite number of planes or lines can be formed parallel to the longitudinal axis. How this feature can prevent the corner fibers from contacting the buffer tube.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 7, 15-17, 19-22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman.

Coleman (Figures 1-6) shows an optical fiber cable comprising an optical fiber ribbon stack 14 having a plurality of optical fibers, a cushion member 15 disposed around the ribbon stack, an elastic membrane 13 surrounding the stack and the cushion member and a filler material integral with the cushion member in the space between the membrane and the stack. The cable has a buffer tube with a filler material 22 between the buffer tube and the ribbon stack. The cushion is made of a gel with suspended particles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2839

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 3-6, 8-14, 18, 23-25 and 27-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman.

Coleman shows all the features of these claims as described in Paragraph 3 above except the shape of the cushion member, the cushion member made into a number of pieces instead of being integral, material of the cushion member so as to exhibit a specific modulus of elasticity and its form as a tape wrapped around the ribbon stack. The instant invention does not provide any reasons or specific problem to be solved by providing these features. Official notice is given that such features are well known in the art of optical fibers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to provide these features to the Coleman's cable because this would require mere a selection of shape and material of the cushion member which involve only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 8/29/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that placing a cushion member parallel to the longitudinal axis prevents corner fibers from contacting the buffer tube. But this is considered new matter and not enabling. Applicant's other arguments are not supported by claim language. Claims do not require any specific characteristics of the cushion member. A water-blocking agent absorbs water and acts as a cushion member. Coleman clearly shows the cushion member placed parallel to the longitudinal axis of the fiber stack and Figure 3 clearly shows the cushion member preventing the



Art Unit: 2839

corners of the fiber stack from contacting the buffer tube. Coleman further shows a filler material between the fiber stack and buffer tube surrounding an elastic member (See Figure 3).

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

11. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)

2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (703) 308-0977.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached at (703) 308-2710. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or processing should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Chandrika Prasad Patent examiner September 24, 2003